



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/718,445

11/19/2003

Sandeep Bhatia

CA7035962001

9844

55497 7590 03/24/2008  
BINGHAM MCCUTCHEN LLP  
THREE EMBARCADERO CENTER  
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111-4067

EXAMINER

TABONE JR, JOHN J

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2117

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

03/24/2008

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/718,445	<b>Applicant(s)</b> BHATIA, SANDEEP	
	<b>Examiner</b> JOHN J. TABONE JR	<b>Art Unit</b> 2117	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) JOHN J. TABONE JR. (3)\_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Attorney Jasper Kwoh. (4)\_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 14 March 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: Generally claim 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Masatake (JP-2003-202362).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Attorney Kwoh called to discuss the Non-Final rejection of 12/13/2007 concerning the limitaion "the clock signal ...is directly input to the first scan chain and the second scan chain". Examiner further explained how Masatake's Drawing 1 read on the claimed invention, which cleared thing up for Attorney. Possible amendments to the claims were discussed in reference to Applicant's Fig. 5, but no decision was made.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/John J. Tabone, Jr./  
Examiner, Art Unit 2117

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required